

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
-United States Patent and Trademark United
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,661	02/	20/2002	Eberhard Konig	Mo6802/LeA 35,077	7069
157	7590	10/23/2002			
BAYER CO		-	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205				RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
FILISBURG	ın, PA 152	203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	1711	
				DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover she	eet beneath the correspondence address—
eriod for Resp nse		_
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) does not not be a specified above, such period shall, by a Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response to the specified above. 	ays, a response within the s	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
tatus		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	ept for formal matters, p 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G	prosecution as to the merits is closed in . 213.
pisp siti n of Claims		
X Claim(s) 1−5	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Applicati n Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approv	ved □ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	ojected to by the Exami	ner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. 	s of the priority document	nts have been
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the 	International Bureau (F	Ο 1 (tale 1 7.2(α)).
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the		
received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:		
☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:		•

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. Claims under examination are 1-5
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Squiller et al (USP 6103849) in view of Okuno et al (USP 4562237) and Konig et al (USP 6060573).

Squiller discloses one-component polyurethane compositions made up of (a) blocked isocyanate, (b) polyketimine, polyenamine or oxazolidine and (c) a compound that generates water (abstract). Some isocyanate-reactive compounds are set forth in col. 6, lines 4-12 and they read on the (claimed) OH-containing polymeric compound (viz (ii) of instant claim 1).

Squiller does not mention (i) a polyisocyanate which is blocked by CH-acidic ester and (ii) a tetravalent titanium compound.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,661

Art Unit: 1711

Okuno also discloses a one component room temp curable sealant composition comprising (A) a polyether polymer, (B) a partial addition condensation product and (C) a catalyst (abstract). The polyether polymer is a reaction product of a polyether urethane and a silane (col. 16, lines 4-23). An organic titanic acid ester is used as a crosslinking agent in the condensation product (B) (col. 2, line 60 to col. 3, line 37; col. 5, lines 57-68). This ester reads on the (claimed) one of instant claim 1, component (iii).

Konig discloses polyisocyanates blocked with CH-acidic esters.

Therefore it would have been obvious to add in the composition of Squiller (i) a blocked isocyanate or polyisocyanate (as taught by Konig) in order to eliminate or minimize yellowing due to heat and (ii) the titanates of Okuno to bring about enhanced crosslinking at low temp such as room temp. It is noted that Okuno teaches use of titanates with various silanes. These silanes react with polyether urethane prepolymer having terminal isocyanate group. Therefore it is reasonable to infer that said titanates can be useful with isocyanate also, unless proved otherwise.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is 703-308-3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Application/Control-Number: 10/079,661

Art Unit: 1711

U. K. Rajguru/mn October 16, 2002

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700